

## Transformation properties and covariance of the Dirac equation.

### non-relativistic 2-component spinors under rotation

Before we embark on looking at the full covariance of the Dirac equation under a generalized Lorentz transformation we can learn a lot about the techniques by looking at a simple non-relativistic 2-component spinor under rotation. Non-relativistic equations still have to be invariant under rotation. A simple Hamiltonian that expresses the energy of a spin  $1/2$  particle in a  $B$  field can be written as

$$\sigma \cdot B \chi = E \chi$$

where  $\chi$  is a 2-component spinor.

If the term  $\chi^\dagger \chi$  is to be invariant <sup>2</sup>  
under rotation

$$\chi^\dagger \chi = \chi'^\dagger \chi' \quad \text{where } \chi' = \text{spinor } \chi \text{ in rotated frame.}$$

if  $\chi = U\chi'$  where  $U$  is some transformation matrix

$$\chi^\dagger = \widetilde{U\chi'}^\dagger = \chi'^\dagger U^\dagger$$

Therefore  $\chi'^\dagger \chi' = \chi^\dagger U^\dagger U \chi = \chi^\dagger \chi$

if  $\chi^\dagger \chi$  is to be invariant  $U^\dagger U = \mathbb{1}$ .

Thus 
$$\boxed{U^\dagger = U^{-1}}$$

A matrix with this property is called a unitary transformation

N.B.  $U^\dagger = U$  is a hermitian matrix, so a matrix which is hermitian and unitary is self inverse  $U^\dagger = U = U^{-1}$ .

## The exponent of a matrix.

$e^A$  may seem a strange concept but remembering that

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{x^n}{n!} \dots$$

where  $x$  is a simple scalar, we can express the exponent of the matrix in the same way.

$$e^A = \mathbb{I} + A + \frac{1}{2!} A^2 + \frac{1}{3!} A^3 + \dots$$

So in the same way as for the scalar the exponent of a matrix is a sum of matrices.

## The links between hermitian and unitary matrices.

$$\text{let } U = e^A \quad U^\dagger = (e^A)^\dagger = e^{A^\dagger}$$

(think of the effect of  $U^\dagger = U^\dagger$  on the matrix

sum above :-

$$\begin{aligned} e^{A^\dagger} &= \mathbb{I} + A^\dagger + \frac{1}{2!} A^{\dagger 2} + \dots \\ &= \mathbb{I} + A^\dagger + \frac{1}{2!} A^{\dagger 2} + \dots = e^{A^\dagger} \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $U$  were to be unitary

$$U^\dagger U = \mathbb{I} = e^A \cdot e^{A^\dagger} = e^{A+A^\dagger}$$

thus  $A^\dagger$  must be  $= -A$ , (anti hermitian)

if we express  $A$  as  $iA$  instead we get

$$U^\dagger U = \mathbb{I} = e^{iA} e^{-iA^\dagger} = e^{i(A-A^\dagger)}$$

Thus  $A = A^\dagger =$  hermitian.

Thus we can build a unitary matrix transformation from a hermitian matrix.

$$U = e^{iA}$$

$$A = \text{hermitian}$$

$$A^\dagger = A$$

But we know that  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \mathbb{I}$  form a complete set of  $2 \times 2$  hermitian matrices.

Let us try

$$U = \exp(i \sigma_3 \theta/2)$$

$$U^\dagger = \exp(-i \sigma_3 \theta/2)$$

We might guess that this transformation is connected to a rotation about the z-axis as  $\sigma_3$  is associated with  $\sigma_z$ . But why the  $\theta/2$  ... we shall see.

Invariance under rotation of the 2-component spinor energy equation

$$1) \sigma \cdot B X = E X$$

under rotation

$$2) \sigma \cdot B' X' = E X'$$

$$\text{where } X' = U X$$

Multiplying 1) by U.

$$U \sigma \cdot B X = E U X$$

and inserting  $U U^\dagger = \mathbb{1}$

$$U \sigma \cdot B U^\dagger U X = E U X.$$

But  $\sigma \cdot B$  just represents a sum of 3 terms  $\sigma_x B_x + \sigma_y B_y + \sigma_z B_z$  and the  $B$  component is just a scalar in each. Thus the equation can be written as.

$$U \sigma U^\dagger \cdot B \chi' = E \chi'$$

Thus we can see that if this equation is to be invariant under rotation

$$\boxed{U \underline{\sigma} U^\dagger B = \sigma \cdot B'} \quad \therefore U \underline{\sigma} U^\dagger = R(\theta) \underline{B} \cdot \underline{\sigma}$$

But remembering that  $B$  under rotation about the  $z$ -axis transforms as.

$$B' = \begin{pmatrix} B_x' \\ B_y' \\ B_z' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta & 0 \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_x \\ B_y \\ B_z \end{pmatrix}$$

Let us see what

$$U = \exp(i \sigma_z \theta / 2) \text{ gives}$$

$$U = \exp(i\sigma_z \theta/2)$$

$$= 1 + \left(i\sigma_z \frac{\theta}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2!} \left(i\sigma_z \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \left(i\sigma_z \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^3 + \dots$$

The even terms give me

$$\mathbb{1} (\cos \theta/2) \quad \text{since } \sigma_z^2 = \mathbb{1}.$$

The odd terms give

$$i\sigma_z (\sin \theta/2)$$

$$\therefore U = \mathbb{1} \cos \theta/2 + i\sigma_z \sin \theta/2$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta/2 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta/2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} i \sin \theta/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -i \sin \theta/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U \sigma \cdot B U^\dagger$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_z & B_x - iB_y \\ B_x + iB_y & -B_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\theta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_z e^{-i\theta/2} & (B_x - iB_y) e^{i\theta/2} \\ (B_x + iB_y) e^{-i\theta/2} & -B_z e^{i\theta/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} B_z & (B_x - iB_y) e^{i\theta} \\ (B_x + iB_y) e^{-i\theta} & -B_z \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} B_z & (B_x \cos\theta + B_y \sin\theta) - i(B_y \cos\theta - B_x \sin\theta) \\ (B_x \cos\theta + B_y \sin\theta) + i(B_y \cos\theta - B_x \sin\theta) & -B_z \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $B_x' = B_x \cos\theta + B_y \sin\theta$      $B_y' = B_y \cos\theta - B_x \sin\theta$   
 $B_z' = B_z$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} B_z' & B_x' + iB_y' \\ B_x' + iB_y' & -B_z' \end{pmatrix}$$

which is what is  
 required for invariance.

We can see that the spinor is a strange object as.

9

$$\chi' = U \chi = \exp[i \sigma_3 \theta/2] \chi.$$

Thus a spinor rotated through  $360^\circ$  is not the same as one that has not been rotated. To get this back to its starting state we have to rotate by  $2 \times 360^\circ$ .

Does this matter?

It is possible to show that for an arbitrary rotation about  $\underline{n}$  the spinor transformation is

$$U = \exp[i \sigma \cdot \underline{n} \theta/2]$$

Lorentz covariance

Rotation is a special case of the general Lorentz transformations from the Poincaré Group.

So what happens to the Dirac Equation

$$\hat{E} \psi(x, t) = (\alpha \cdot p + \beta m) \psi(x, t) \quad - (1)$$

When it is subject to a transformation.

$$\psi'(x', t) = S_R \psi(x, t)$$

$\hookrightarrow R$  means a rotational sub transformation.

$$\hat{E} \psi'(x', t) = (\alpha \cdot p' + \beta m) \psi'(x', t) \quad - (2)$$

So substituting  $S_R$ , or rather operation by  $S_R$  on both sides of (1) we get

$$\hat{E} S_R \psi(x, t) = S_R (\alpha \cdot p + \beta m) \psi(x, t)$$

$\uparrow S_R^{-1} S_R = \mathbb{1}$

$$\hat{E} S_R \psi(x, t) = S_R (\alpha \cdot p + \beta m) S_R^{-1} S_R \psi(x, t)$$

$$\therefore \hat{E} S_R \Psi(x, t) = \left( S_R \underline{\alpha} S_R^{-1} \cdot \underline{p} + S_R \beta S_R^{-1} \right) S_R \Psi(x, t)$$

Thus if equation 2 is to be the same as 1.

$$\begin{aligned} (S_R \underline{\alpha} S_R^{-1}) \cdot \underline{p} &= \underline{\alpha} \cdot \underline{p}' \\ S_R \beta S_R^{-1} &= \beta \end{aligned}$$

↔ This is the defining equation for Lorentz invariance.

Thus the equations are the same as for the 2-component spinor so it is no surprise that it can be expanded to the 4 component case by writing

$$S_R = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(i\sigma \cdot \underline{n} \theta/2) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(+i\sigma \cdot \underline{n} \theta/2) \end{pmatrix}$$

clearly again  $S_R^\dagger = S_R^{-1}$

$$\therefore S_R^\dagger S_R = \underline{1}$$

# Dirac Equation and the Lorentz Transformation (12)

If we define a generalized Lorentz transformation  $\Lambda$

$$X'^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} X^{\nu}$$

↑  
4-vectors

$$X'_{\mu} = \Lambda_{\mu}^{\nu} X_{\nu}$$

But  $X'^{\mu} = g^{\mu\beta} X_{\beta}' = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} g^{\nu\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ .

But  $g_{\delta\mu} g^{\mu\beta} = g_{\delta}^{\beta} = \delta_{\delta}^{\beta} = 1$ .

$$\therefore g_{\delta\mu} g^{\mu\beta} X_{\beta}' = g_{\delta\mu} \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} g^{\nu\alpha} X_{\alpha}$$

$$\delta_{\delta}^{\beta} X_{\beta}' = g_{\delta\mu} \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} g^{\nu\alpha} X_{\alpha}$$

$$\therefore X_{\delta}' = \underbrace{g_{\delta\mu} \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} g^{\nu\alpha}}_{\Lambda_{\delta}^{\alpha}} X_{\alpha}$$

$$\boxed{\Lambda_{\delta}^{\alpha} = g_{\delta\mu} \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} g^{\nu\alpha}}$$

Consider the inner product.

(13)

$$A \cdot B = A' \cdot B'$$

$$A'^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha} A^{\alpha}$$

$$B'^{\nu} = \Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta} B^{\beta}$$

$$A' \cdot B' = A'_{\nu} B'^{\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta} A^{\alpha} B^{\beta}$$

$$A \cdot B = \underbrace{A_{\beta}}_{g_{\alpha\beta} A^{\alpha}} B^{\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} A^{\alpha} B^{\beta}$$

Thus  $g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta} A^{\alpha} B^{\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} A^{\alpha} B^{\beta}$ .

$$\therefore \boxed{g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta}}$$

But  $g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha} = \Lambda_{\nu\alpha}$

Since

$$A_{\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu}$$

$$A^{\nu} = g^{\nu\mu} A_{\mu}$$

are also true

as  $g^{\nu\mu}$   $g_{\nu\mu}$

are symmetric and diagonal.

$$\therefore g^{\rho\alpha} \Lambda_{\nu\alpha} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta} = g^{\rho\alpha} g_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\Lambda_{\nu}^{\rho} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta} = g^{\rho}_{\beta} = \delta_{\rho\beta}}$$

Thus since

$$\Lambda_{\nu}^{\alpha} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta} = g^{\rho}_{\beta} = \delta_{\rho\beta}$$

$$(\Lambda^{-1})^{\alpha}_{\nu} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta} = \delta_{\rho\beta}.$$

$$\therefore (\Lambda^{-1})^{\rho}_{\nu} = \Lambda_{\nu}^{\rho}$$

Thus if we know  $\Lambda_{\nu}^{\rho}$  we can find  $\Lambda^{-1}$ .  
To get  $\Lambda^{-1}$  from  $\Lambda_{\nu}^{\rho}$  we need to convert it to  $\Lambda^{\nu}_{\rho}$  and then transpose it  $\Lambda^{\rho}_{\nu}$ .

$$\therefore \Lambda^{-1} = \left( g^{\delta\gamma} \Lambda_{\gamma}^{\rho} g_{\rho\delta} \right)^T.$$

---

You can see from this that since  $\det g = +1$  the determinant of  $\Lambda$  is  $\pm 1$ .

+1 is a proper Lorentz transformation

-1 is an improper or discrete transformation.

Thus considering the Dirac Equation

$$(\not{\beta} - m) \psi = 0.$$

$$(\not{\gamma}^\mu P_\mu - m) \psi = 0.$$

Under transformation

$$(\not{\gamma}^\mu P'_\mu - m) \psi'(x') = 0.$$

where  $P'_\mu = \Lambda_\mu^\nu P_\nu$  ;  $\psi'(x') = S \psi(x)$ .

Thus starting with

$$(\not{\gamma}^\mu P_\mu - m \mathbb{1}) \psi = 0.$$

$$S (\not{\gamma}^\mu P_\mu - m \mathbb{1}) \psi = 0.$$

$$S (\not{\gamma}^\mu P_\mu - m \mathbb{1}) S^{-1} S \psi = 0.$$

$$= \underbrace{(S \not{\gamma}^\mu S^{-1} P_\mu - m \mathbb{1})}_{\not{\gamma}^\nu \Lambda_\mu^\nu P_\nu} \psi'(x') = 0.$$

Thus

$$\boxed{S \not{\gamma}^\mu S^{-1} = \Lambda^\mu_\nu \not{\gamma}^\nu}$$

So the equation becomes.

$$\boxed{\not{\gamma}^\nu \Lambda^\mu_\nu P_\mu = \not{\gamma}^\nu P'_\nu}$$

The proper Lorentz transformations which can be made up from small increments (i.e. not discrete) can either be rotations or boosts. We have looked at rotations but now let us consider boosts. The Lorentz boost in the x direction is given by.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x^0 \\ x^1 \\ x^2 \\ x^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh w & \sinh w & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh w & \cosh w & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\tanh w = \beta$

We can immediately see that  $S_\beta$  is analogous to  $S_R$  but

- $\sigma \rightarrow \alpha$  matrices
- $\theta \rightarrow i\omega$  complex angle

We find that

$$S_\beta = e^{\alpha \cdot \omega/2}$$

is a boost along the x direction

$$e^{\alpha \cdot \omega/2} = \cosh(\omega/2) \mathbb{1} + \sinh(\omega/2) \alpha'$$

for an arbitrary boost in direction  $v$ .

$$S_\beta = e^{\left\{ \frac{\alpha \cdot v (\omega/2)}{|v|} \right\}}$$

## A scalar current probability.

(17)

From the definition of the Dirac probability

$\bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x)$  must be a scalar probability.

if  $\psi'(x') = S \psi(x)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\psi'(x')} \psi'(x) &= (S \psi(x))^{\dagger} \gamma^0 S \psi(x) \\ &= \psi^{\dagger}(x) S^{\dagger} \gamma^0 S \psi(x) \\ &= \psi^{\dagger}(x) \gamma^0 \gamma^0 S^{\dagger} \gamma^0 S \psi(x) \\ &= \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^0 S^{\dagger} \gamma^0 S \psi(x).\end{aligned}$$

Now as  $\gamma^0 S^{\dagger} \gamma^0 = S^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned}&= \bar{\psi}(x) S^{-1} S \psi(x) \\ &= \bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x)\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  scalar.

Thus

N.B

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^0 S^{\dagger} \gamma^0 &= S^{-1} \\ S^{-1} \gamma^{\mu} S &= A^{\mu}_{\nu} \gamma^{\nu}.\end{aligned}$$

(18)

Covariance of the probability current  $j^\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$

$$\begin{aligned}j^{\mu'}(x') &= \bar{\psi}'(x') \gamma^{\mu'} \psi'(x') \\&= (\mathcal{S}\psi(x))^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu'} \mathcal{S}\psi(x) \\&= \psi^\dagger(x) \mathcal{S}^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu'} \mathcal{S} \psi(x) \\&= \psi^\dagger(x) \gamma^0 \mathcal{S}^{-1} \gamma^0 \gamma^{\mu'} \mathcal{S} \psi(x) \\&= \psi^\dagger(x) \gamma^0 \tilde{\gamma}^{\mu'} \mathcal{S} \psi(x) \\&= \bar{\psi}(x) \mathcal{S}^{-1} \gamma^{\mu'} \mathcal{S} \psi(x) \\&= \Lambda^{\mu'}_{\nu} \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^{\nu} \psi(x).\end{aligned}$$

Thus the terms of the  $j^\mu(x)$  are truly a 4-vector.

$$\gamma^5 = i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 = \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{abcd} \gamma^a \gamma^b \gamma^c \gamma^d$$

using the property  $S^{-1} \gamma^a S = \Lambda^a_b \gamma^b$ .

We can expand

$$\begin{aligned}
& S^{-1} \gamma^a \gamma^b \gamma^c \gamma^d S \\
&= S^{-1} \gamma^a S S^{-1} \gamma^b S S^{-1} \gamma^c S S^{-1} \gamma^d S \\
&= \Lambda^a_e \Lambda^b_f \Lambda^c_g \Lambda^d_h \gamma^e \gamma^f \gamma^g \gamma^h
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } \frac{1}{i} S^{-1} \gamma^5 S = \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{abcd} \Lambda^a_e \Lambda^b_f \Lambda^c_g \Lambda^d_h \gamma^e \gamma^f \gamma^g \gamma^h$$

But considering the properties of the  $\gamma$ 's and  $\Lambda$ 's.

$$\{\gamma^e \gamma^f\} = 2g^{ef}$$

But we also know

$$\Lambda^a_e \Lambda^b_f g_{ef} = g^{ab} \text{ — see page 13.}$$

Thus combining this

$$\Lambda^a_e \Lambda^b_f \{\gamma^e \gamma^f\} = 2g^{ab}$$

$$\therefore \epsilon_{abcd} \Lambda^a_e \Lambda^b_f \{\gamma^e \gamma^f\} = 2 \epsilon_{abcd} g^{ab} = 0.$$

Thus the complete expression

(21)

$$\frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{abcd} \Lambda^a_e \Lambda^b_f \Lambda^c_g \Lambda^d_h f^e f^f f^g f^h$$

is completely antisymmetric under the interchange of any of  $e f g h$ .

(note that if any of  $e f g h$  are repeated that would not be true so  $e f g h$  must all be different.)

So we can introduce  $\epsilon_{efgh}$  to make this true and then we only need one example of  $f^e f^f f^g f^h$  which will be the same for all

$$\therefore \epsilon_{efgh} f^e f^f f^g f^h = \epsilon_{efgh} f^0 f^1 f^2 f^3$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{i} S^{-1} \gamma^5 S = \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{abcd} \Lambda^a_e \Lambda^b_f \Lambda^c_g \Lambda^d_h \epsilon^{efgh} \frac{\gamma^5}{i}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{i} S^{-1} \gamma^5 S = \det \Lambda \frac{\gamma^5}{i}}$$

This is a pseudoscalar.

# Discrete Symmetries.

①

## Parity.

$$\hat{E} \psi(\underline{x}, t) = (\alpha \hat{p} + \beta m) \psi(\underline{x}, t)$$

$$\underline{x} \rightarrow \underline{x}' = -\underline{x}; t \rightarrow t' = t$$

we need to show.

$$\hat{E} \psi_p(\underline{x}', t') = (\alpha \hat{p}' + \beta m) \psi_p(\underline{x}', t')$$

where  $\psi_p$  is the new wave function

$$\text{Now } \hat{p}' = -i \nabla' = +i \nabla = -\hat{p}$$

$$\text{since } \begin{aligned} x' &= -x \\ t' &= t \end{aligned}$$

So the second equation can be written

$$\hat{E} \psi_p(\underline{x}', t) = (-\alpha \hat{p} + \beta m) \psi_p(\underline{x}', t)$$

However if we multiply the equation <sup>(2)</sup>  
through by  $\beta$ .

$$\hat{E} \beta \psi_p(x', t) = \beta (-\alpha \cdot p + \beta m) \psi_p(x', t).$$

But  $-\beta \alpha = \alpha \beta$  ( $\alpha \beta + \beta \alpha = 0$  anticommutate)

Thus

$$\hat{E} \beta \psi_p(x', t) = (\alpha \cdot p + \beta m) \beta \psi_p(x', t),$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\psi_p(x', t) = \beta \psi(x, t).}$$

## Time Reversal.

$$i \frac{\partial \psi(x, t)}{\partial t} = (i \underline{\alpha} \cdot \underline{\nabla} + \beta m) \psi(x, t).$$

$$\psi_T(x') \equiv \psi_T(x, -t).$$

need to find.

$$i \frac{\partial \psi_T(x')}{\partial t'} = (-i \alpha \cdot \nabla + \beta m) \psi_T(x')$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t'} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

$$-i \frac{\partial \psi_T(x')}{\partial t} = (-i \alpha \cdot \nabla + \beta m) \psi_T(x')$$

Taking the complex conjugate of the top equation:

$$-i \frac{\partial \psi^*(x, t)}{\partial t} = (i \alpha^* \cdot \nabla + \beta^* m) \psi^*(x, t)$$

# Charge Conjugation

(5)

$$i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = (-i \underline{\alpha} \cdot \nabla + e \underline{\alpha} \cdot \underline{A} - e A^0 + \beta m) \psi$$

$$i \frac{\partial \psi_c}{\partial t} = (-i \underline{\alpha} \cdot \nabla - e \underline{\alpha} \cdot \underline{A} + e A^0 + \beta m) \psi_c.$$

Take complex conjugate  
and multiplying by  $\gamma^2 \equiv \beta \alpha_2$ .

we find

$$\psi_c = i \beta \alpha_2 \psi^*(x) = i \gamma^2 \psi^*(x).$$